COMMENTS ON "THE ALGEBRAIC AND ANABELIAN GEOMETRY OF CONFIGURATION SPACES"

SHINICHI MOCHIZUKI

April 2015

- (1.) The faithfulness referred to in the proof of Proposition 1.4 is well-known and may be verified, for "N" [cf. the notation of loc. cit.] sufficiently small, by applying a similar argument to the argument given in the proof of [DM], Lemma 1.14, i.e., by invoking the Lefshetz-Weil fixed point formula.
- (2.) The subscripted " ξ 's" in the first two displays of the proof of Corollary 6.3 should, in fact, be *superscripted*.
- (3.) In the proof of Corollary 6.3: Although there is no substantive problem with the argument of the proof as written, the argument would perhaps be slightly easier to follow if the phrase "is contained in a fiber subgroup" in the first sentence of the proof is replaced by the phrase "contains a fiber subgroup".

Bibliography

[DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, The Irreducibility of the Moduli Space of Curves of Given Genus, *IHES Publ. Math.* **36** (1969), pp. 75-109.